

him, says: "Of the nomination of our own treasurer, we need Mr. Alonso B. Cornell, for Lieutenant-Governor; we need only speak in the briefest manner, and to most moderate terms. Mr. Cornell has been a good man over the State as one of the ablest of the rising young men in the whole country. A Republican from the formation of the party, he has always been of the most positive and decided kind. Positive, cool, and equal in every temperature, he never acted hasty, and from unreasoning moments, he would have been almost impossible for any person not connected with the Bank to have gained access and profited impressions of the locks which duplicate keys might have been cast. The Police authorities and the officers of the law are fully satisfied about the matter, notwithstanding the fact that one of the Bank employees was arrested but afterward discharged.

INDIAN CURE FOR A SNAKE BITE.

While a lad some 12 years of age, was at work in the cornfield last Friday, he was stung in the foot by a large snake of the species known as the copperhead. An uncle of the lad, who was close at hand, immediately killed the snake, cut open its body and applied a portion of it to the wound. Though the foot began to swell rapidly, the effect of the application was soon apparent, and the limb was soon perfectly well, and the lad recovered from his bite. This is the mode of treatment which the Indians of this country used to adopt in case of bites by rattlesnake—to kill the reptile and immediately apply a portion of the flesh to the wound. It is said to never fail to effect a cure. [Athens (Tenn.) Post.]

The following table, showing the list of votes cast for the Democratic candidates for Governor and Lieutenant-Governor, and including 1860, when Seymour was candidate for the former office, will give an idea of the result of the election.

When Seymour was answering the question: When did you begin to value your independence?

1850. *Lieutenant-Governor.* Dem. 31,322; Church. 318,000. Total 346,322. Seymour (defeated). 363,649; Jones. 367,500. Less than Church. 182. Seymour (elected). 261,121; Church. 2,026. Less than Church. 164.

Seymour commanded but 16,000 out of the nearly 100,000 Democratic votes in the state. His popularity, great as it was, on account of voting the Maine Liquor law, did not secure his reelection, and he was defeated by Clark, the fusion candidate, by a few votes.

Seymour was on the soft shell ticket, but the Hards and the Know-Nothings made such a muddle of their platform that it was difficult to ascertain who commanded the strength of any party.

1860. *Gent. Grant.* Dem. 31,322; Church. 318,000. Seymour (defeated). 363,649; Jones. 367,500. Less than Church. 182. Seymour (elected). 261,121; Church. 2,026. Less than Church. 164.

Seymour, sheathed by Hoffman, who was defeated by Fenwick on 15,789.

A COPPERHEAD LIE NAILED.

To the Editor of The Tribune.

SIR: In a recent issue of The Washington Intelligencer there appears, in an editorial, the following language:

"Meanwhile, the very economical Radical candidate for the Presidency, who denies this radical 20 per cent to starving soldiers, to dependent employees, strongly recommends an increase of 35 per cent in the pay of officers of the Army."

The above is not only a foul slander upon the General-in-Chief, but that part of it which has reference to an increase of the pay of army officers has not a particle of truth in it. The paragraph either shows complete ignorance in the matter, or a downright determination to set forth to the public that which is not so. During the war army officers received, as part of their pay, ration money commuted at the rate of 25 per cent of their pay. They were thus entitled to five rations per day, or \$5 per month. It is amounting to \$2 per day, or \$75 per month. Soon after the close of the war, this commutation was cut down to 20 cents per day, or \$5 per month, and all other officers in proportion. In July, 1866, Congress passed an act increasing the pay *properly* of officers of the army 35 per cent, this increase to expire June 30, 1867. Gen. Grant's department recommended that this increase be not to urge an additional 35 per cent increase, as the *Intelligencer* would give to imply. The justice of this is apparent when we consider that an officer's pay is less, with the increase, than it was during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

CALVARY OFFICER.

New York, July 7, 1868.

CIVIL.

No voluntary petitions in bankruptcy have been filed in the United States, except during the week ending July 1. During the same period discharges in bankruptcy have been issued to the following-named persons: William Higbie Smith, John Caldwell, Normal C. Jones, and Francis E. Williams.

"Meanwhile, the very economical Radical candidate for the Presidency, who denies this radical 20 per cent to starving soldiers, to dependent employees, strongly recommends an increase of 35 per cent in the pay of officers of the Army."

The above is not only a foul slander upon the General-in-Chief, but that part of it which has reference to an increase of the pay of army officers has not a particle of truth in it. The paragraph either shows complete ignorance in the matter, or a downright determination to set forth to the public that which is not so. During the war army officers received, as part of their pay, ration money commuted at the rate of 25 per cent of their pay. They were thus entitled to five rations per day, or \$5 per month. It is amounting to \$2 per day, or \$75 per month. Soon after the close of the war, this commutation was cut down to 20 cents per day, or \$5 per month, and all other officers in proportion. In July, 1866, Congress passed an act increasing the pay *properly* of officers of the army 35 per cent, this increase to expire June 30, 1867. Gen. Grant's department recommended that this increase be not to urge an additional 35 per cent increase, as the *Intelligencer* would give to imply. The justice of this is apparent when we consider that an officer's pay is less, with the increase, than it was during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundly seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.

By the way, I am boundy seen that the "shoulder of our arm" is to-day drawing less pay than they did during the war. It would be no more right and fair if Congress should further increase their pay 35 per cent.